Application No. 10/780,547 Docket No.: 418268006US

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement, applicant hereby elects Group I (claims 1-24 and 40-47) with traverse. Applicant respectfully submits that claims 25-30 of Group II should be included with the claims of Group I. Claim 1 of Group I may be more properly characterized as a subcombination of the claims of Group II. Moreover, there would be no "serious burden" on the Examiner if these Groups were combined. MPEP § 806.05(c). Applicant is puzzled by the Examiner's reliance on MPEP § 806.04 since no genus/species requirement has been made. In addition, MPEP § 808.01 requires that the Examiner provide more than simply asserting they have separate utility and then copying the claims language.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0665, under Order No. 418268006US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: 7 13 67

Respectfully submitted,

Maurice J. Pirio

Registration No.: 33,273 PERKINS COIE LLP

P.O. Box 1247

Seattle, Washington 98111-1247 (206) 359-8000

(206) 359-7198 (Fax) Attorney for Applicant